



*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For June 2010

# Use of Foster Care by County

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
July 2010**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Use of Foster Care by County

June 2010

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.


**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

### June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 1	2158	950	44.0%	50.0%	1208	56.0%	50.0%
Lake	2158	950	44.0%	50.0%	1208	56.0%	50.0%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	2158	950	44.0%	50.0%	1208	56.0%	50.0%
May 2010	2171	930	42.8%	50.0%	1241	57.2%	50.0%
April 2010	2180	928	42.6%	50.0%	1252	57.4%	50.0%
March 2010	2134	929	43.5%	50.0%	1205	56.5%	50.0%
February 2010	2094	889	42.5%	50.0%	1205	57.5%	50.0%
January 2010	2055	867	42.2%	50.0%	1188	57.8%	50.0%
December 2009	2036	842	41.4%	50.0%	1194	58.6%	50.0%
November 2009	2030	809	39.9%	50.0%	1221	60.1%	50.0%
October 2009	2065	846	41.0%	50.0%	1219	59.0%	50.0%
September 2009	2050	848	41.4%	50.0%	1202	58.6%	50.0%
August 2009	2013	801	39.8%	50.0%	1212	60.2%	50.0%
July 2009	2035	800	39.3%	50.0%	1235	60.7%	50.0%
June 2009	2063	832	40.3%	50.0%	1231	59.7%	50.0%

### Region 1 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 2	386	201	52.1%	50.0%	185	47.9%	50.0%
Jasper	38	16	42.1%	50.0%	22	57.9%	50.0%
LaPorte	137	82	59.9%	50.0%	55	40.1%	50.0%
Newton	26	16	61.5%	50.0%	10	38.5%	50.0%
Porter	148	71	48.0%	50.0%	77	52.0%	50.0%
Pulaski	11	5	45.5%	50.0%	6	54.5%	50.0%
Starke	26	11	42.3%	50.0%	15	57.7%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	386	201	52.1%	50.0%	185	47.9%	50.0%
May 2010	406	222	54.7%	50.0%	184	45.3%	50.0%
April 2010	414	225	54.3%	50.0%	189	45.7%	50.0%
March 2010	449	247	55.0%	50.0%	202	45.0%	50.0%
February 2010	446	239	53.6%	50.0%	207	46.4%	50.0%
January 2010	441	234	53.1%	50.0%	207	46.9%	50.0%
December 2009	445	228	51.2%	50.0%	217	48.8%	50.0%
November 2009	445	221	49.7%	50.0%	224	50.3%	50.0%
October 2009	450	214	47.6%	50.0%	236	52.4%	50.0%
September 2009	450	212	47.1%	50.0%	238	52.9%	50.0%
August 2009	433	190	43.9%	50.0%	243	56.1%	50.0%
July 2009	452	194	42.9%	50.0%	258	57.1%	50.0%
June 2009	434	182	41.9%	50.0%	252	58.1%	50.0%

## Region 2 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

### June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 3	1402	647	46.1%	50.0%	755	53.9%	50.0%
Elkhart	372	163	43.8%	50.0%	209	56.2%	50.0%
Kosciusko	79	47	59.5%	50.0%	32	40.5%	50.0%
Marshall	84	37	44.0%	50.0%	47	56.0%	50.0%
Saint Joseph	867	400	46.1%	50.0%	467	53.9%	50.0%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 3 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	1402	647	46.1%	50.0%	755	53.9%	50.0%
May 2010	1464	677	46.2%	50.0%	787	53.8%	50.0%
April 2010	1538	740	48.1%	50.0%	798	51.9%	50.0%
March 2010	1587	797	50.2%	50.0%	790	49.8%	50.0%
February 2010	1646	822	49.9%	50.0%	824	50.1%	50.0%
January 2010	1670	826	49.5%	50.0%	844	50.5%	50.0%
December 2009	1693	826	48.8%	50.0%	867	51.2%	50.0%
November 2009	1716	820	47.8%	50.0%	896	52.2%	50.0%
October 2009	1694	818	48.3%	50.0%	876	51.7%	50.0%
September 2009	1717	833	48.5%	50.0%	884	51.5%	50.0%
August 2009	1741	854	49.1%	50.0%	887	50.9%	50.0%
July 2009	1714	801	46.7%	50.0%	913	53.3%	50.0%
June 2009	1718	787	45.8%	50.0%	931	54.2%	50.0%

### Region 3 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 4	1633	839	51.4%	50.0%	794	48.6%	50.0%
Adams	59	34	57.6%	50.0%	25	42.4%	50.0%
Allen	1055	555	52.6%	50.0%	500	47.4%	50.0%
DeKalb	111	42	37.8%	50.0%	69	62.2%	50.0%
Huntington	55	34	61.8%	50.0%	21	38.2%	50.0%
LaGrange	61	42	68.9%	50.0%	19	31.1%	50.0%
Noble	145	64	44.1%	50.0%	81	55.9%	50.0%
Steuben	83	24	28.9%	50.0%	59	71.1%	50.0%
Wells	40	29	72.5%	50.0%	11	27.5%	50.0%
Whitley	24	15	62.5%	50.0%	9	37.5%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	1633	839	51.4%	50.0%	794	48.6%	50.0%
May 2010	1642	821	50.0%	50.0%	821	50.0%	50.0%
April 2010	1643	821	50.0%	50.0%	822	50.0%	50.0%
March 2010	1634	822	50.3%	50.0%	812	49.7%	50.0%
February 2010	1605	788	49.1%	50.0%	817	50.9%	50.0%
January 2010	1631	811	49.7%	50.0%	820	50.3%	50.0%
December 2009	1597	777	48.7%	50.0%	820	51.3%	50.0%
November 2009	1643	802	48.8%	50.0%	841	51.2%	50.0%
October 2009	1664	834	50.1%	50.0%	830	49.9%	50.0%
September 2009	1659	844	50.9%	50.0%	815	49.1%	50.0%
August 2009	1671	872	52.2%	50.0%	799	47.8%	50.0%
July 2009	1679	877	52.2%	50.0%	802	47.8%	50.0%
June 2009	1739	925	53.2%	50.0%	814	46.8%	50.0%

## Region 4 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 5	511	301	58.9%	50.0%	210	41.1%	50.0%
Benton	20	7	35.0%	50.0%	13	65.0%	50.0%
Carroll	10	5	50.0%	50.0%	5	50.0%	50.0%
Clinton	67	52	77.6%	50.0%	15	22.4%	50.0%
Fountain	40	20	50.0%	50.0%	20	50.0%	50.0%
Tippecanoe	319	182	57.1%	50.0%	137	42.9%	50.0%
Warren	22	15	68.2%	50.0%	7	31.8%	50.0%
White	33	20	60.6%	50.0%	13	39.4%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 5 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	511	301	58.9%	50.0%	210	41.1%	50.0%
May 2010	478	285	59.6%	50.0%	193	40.4%	50.0%
April 2010	470	281	59.8%	50.0%	189	40.2%	50.0%
March 2010	462	274	59.3%	50.0%	188	40.7%	50.0%
February 2010	465	290	62.4%	50.0%	175	37.6%	50.0%
January 2010	451	283	62.7%	50.0%	168	37.3%	50.0%
December 2009	456	285	62.5%	50.0%	171	37.5%	50.0%
November 2009	440	275	62.5%	50.0%	165	37.5%	50.0%
October 2009	459	283	61.7%	50.0%	176	38.3%	50.0%
September 2009	473	293	61.9%	50.0%	180	38.1%	50.0%
August 2009	474	299	63.1%	50.0%	175	36.9%	50.0%
July 2009	446	279	62.6%	50.0%	167	37.4%	50.0%
June 2009	438	252	57.5%	50.0%	186	42.5%	50.0%

## Region 5 Foster Care Placement Breakdown








# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 6	346	114	32.9%	50.0%	232	67.1%	50.0%
Cass	50	21	42.0%	50.0%	29	58.0%	50.0%
Fulton	50	15	30.0%	50.0%	35	70.0%	50.0%
Howard	94	38	40.4%	50.0%	56	59.6%	50.0%
Miami	88	28	31.8%	50.0%	60	68.2%	50.0%
Wabash	64	12	18.8%	50.0%	52	81.3%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 6 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	346	114	32.9%	50.0%	232	67.1%	50.0%
May 2010	345	116	33.6%	50.0%	229	66.4%	50.0%
April 2010	340	122	35.9%	50.0%	218	64.1%	50.0%
March 2010	355	128	36.1%	50.0%	227	63.9%	50.0%
February 2010	331	118	35.6%	50.0%	213	64.4%	50.0%
January 2010	331	114	34.4%	50.0%	217	65.6%	50.0%
December 2009	329	115	35.0%	50.0%	214	65.0%	50.0%
November 2009	333	115	34.5%	50.0%	218	65.5%	50.0%
October 2009	334	115	34.4%	50.0%	219	65.6%	50.0%
September 2009	303	114	37.6%	50.0%	189	62.4%	50.0%
August 2009	307	122	39.7%	50.0%	185	60.3%	50.0%
July 2009	314	114	36.3%	50.0%	200	63.7%	50.0%
June 2009	312	110	35.3%	50.0%	202	64.7%	50.0%

## Region 6 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 7	387	225	58.1%	50.0%	162	41.9%	50.0%
Blackford	26	18	69.2%	50.0%	8	30.8%	50.0%
Delaware	167	105	62.9%	50.0%	62	37.1%	50.0%
Grant	132	68	51.5%	50.0%	64	48.5%	50.0%
Jay	31	19	61.3%	50.0%	12	38.7%	50.0%
Randolph	31	15	48.4%	50.0%	16	51.6%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 7 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	387	225	58.1%	50.0%	162	41.9%	50.0%
May 2010	377	227	60.2%	50.0%	150	39.8%	50.0%
April 2010	376	231	61.4%	50.0%	145	38.6%	50.0%
March 2010	398	249	62.6%	50.0%	149	37.4%	50.0%
February 2010	408	262	64.2%	50.0%	146	35.8%	50.0%
January 2010	419	276	65.9%	50.0%	143	34.1%	50.0%
December 2009	441	272	61.7%	50.0%	169	38.3%	50.0%
November 2009	455	277	60.9%	50.0%	178	39.1%	50.0%
October 2009	445	265	59.6%	50.0%	180	40.4%	50.0%
September 2009	458	272	59.4%	50.0%	186	40.6%	50.0%
August 2009	469	281	59.9%	50.0%	188	40.1%	50.0%
July 2009	487	286	58.7%	50.0%	201	41.3%	50.0%
June 2009	493	290	58.8%	50.0%	203	41.2%	50.0%

## Region 7 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 8	335	212	63.3%	50.0%	123	36.7%	50.0%
Clay	12	7	58.3%	50.0%	5	41.7%	50.0%
Parke	14	9	64.3%	50.0%	5	35.7%	50.0%
Sullivan	47	37	78.7%	50.0%	10	21.3%	50.0%
Vermillion	41	28	68.3%	50.0%	13	31.7%	50.0%
Vigo	221	131	59.3%	50.0%	90	40.7%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 8 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	335	212	63.3%	50.0%	123	36.7%	50.0%
May 2010	330	210	63.6%	50.0%	120	36.4%	50.0%
April 2010	345	218	63.2%	50.0%	127	36.8%	50.0%
March 2010	344	208	60.5%	50.0%	136	39.5%	50.0%
February 2010	332	201	60.5%	50.0%	131	39.5%	50.0%
January 2010	326	195	59.8%	50.0%	131	40.2%	50.0%
December 2009	321	189	58.9%	50.0%	132	41.1%	50.0%
November 2009	328	196	59.8%	50.0%	132	40.2%	50.0%
October 2009	331	193	58.3%	50.0%	138	41.7%	50.0%
September 2009	300	166	55.3%	50.0%	134	44.7%	50.0%
August 2009	304	176	57.9%	50.0%	128	42.1%	50.0%
July 2009	279	156	55.9%	50.0%	123	44.1%	50.0%
June 2009	268	145	54.1%	50.0%	123	45.9%	50.0%

## Region 8 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 9	424	271	63.9%	50.0%	153	36.1%	50.0%
Boone	87	72	82.8%	50.0%	15	17.2%	50.0%
Hendricks	79	48	60.8%	50.0%	31	39.2%	50.0%
Montgomery	117	63	53.8%	50.0%	54	46.2%	50.0%
Morgan	78	44	56.4%	50.0%	34	43.6%	50.0%
Putnam	63	44	69.8%	50.0%	19	30.2%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 9 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	424	271	63.9%	50.0%	153	36.1%	50.0%
May 2010	416	262	63.0%	50.0%	154	37.0%	50.0%
April 2010	422	266	63.0%	50.0%	156	37.0%	50.0%
March 2010	429	268	62.5%	50.0%	161	37.5%	50.0%
February 2010	440	276	62.7%	50.0%	164	37.3%	50.0%
January 2010	445	287	64.5%	50.0%	158	35.5%	50.0%
December 2009	434	256	59.0%	50.0%	178	41.0%	50.0%
November 2009	439	256	58.3%	50.0%	183	41.7%	50.0%
October 2009	427	245	57.4%	50.0%	182	42.6%	50.0%
September 2009	427	246	57.6%	50.0%	181	42.4%	50.0%
August 2009	425	248	58.4%	50.0%	177	41.6%	50.0%
July 2009	429	252	58.7%	50.0%	177	41.3%	50.0%
June 2009	418	248	59.3%	50.0%	170	40.7%	50.0%

## Region 9 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

### June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 10	3123	1748	56.0%	50.0%	1375	44.0%	50.0%
Marion	3123	1748	56.0%	50.0%	1375	44.0%	50.0%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 10 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	3123	1748	56.0%	50.0%	1375	44.0%	50.0%
May 2010	3021	1650	54.6%	50.0%	1371	45.4%	50.0%
April 2010	3024	1668	55.2%	50.0%	1356	44.8%	50.0%
March 2010	3046	1708	56.1%	50.0%	1338	43.9%	50.0%
February 2010	2981	1634	54.8%	50.0%	1347	45.2%	50.0%
January 2010	2989	1618	54.1%	50.0%	1371	45.9%	50.0%
December 2009	2989	1623	54.3%	50.0%	1366	45.7%	50.0%
November 2009	2931	1542	52.6%	50.0%	1389	47.4%	50.0%
October 2009	2938	1561	53.1%	50.0%	1377	46.9%	50.0%
September 2009	2952	1625	55.0%	50.0%	1327	45.0%	50.0%
August 2009	2907	1570	54.0%	50.0%	1337	46.0%	50.0%
July 2009	2933	1581	53.9%	50.0%	1352	46.1%	50.0%
June 2009	3042	1665	54.7%	50.0%	1377	45.3%	50.0%

### Region 10 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 11	617	323	52.4%	50.0%	294	47.6%	50.0%
Hamilton	126	79	62.7%	50.0%	47	37.3%	50.0%
Hancock	87	46	52.9%	50.0%	41	47.1%	50.0%
Madison	375	183	48.8%	50.0%	192	51.2%	50.0%
Tipton	29	15	51.7%	50.0%	14	48.3%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 11 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	617	323	52.4%	50.0%	294	47.6%	50.0%
May 2010	600	325	54.2%	50.0%	275	45.8%	50.0%
April 2010	588	301	51.2%	50.0%	287	48.8%	50.0%
March 2010	579	303	52.3%	50.0%	276	47.7%	50.0%
February 2010	564	299	53.0%	50.0%	265	47.0%	50.0%
January 2010	544	292	53.7%	50.0%	252	46.3%	50.0%
December 2009	550	290	52.7%	50.0%	260	47.3%	50.0%
November 2009	546	273	50.0%	50.0%	273	50.0%	50.0%
October 2009	558	281	50.4%	50.0%	277	49.6%	50.0%
September 2009	553	283	51.2%	50.0%	270	48.8%	50.0%
August 2009	544	296	54.4%	50.0%	248	45.6%	50.0%
July 2009	562	293	52.1%	50.0%	269	47.9%	50.0%
June 2009	566	309	54.6%	50.0%	257	45.4%	50.0%

## Region 11 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 12	264	146	55.3%	50.0%	118	44.7%	50.0%
Fayette	40	20	50.0%	50.0%	20	50.0%	50.0%
Franklin	34	20	58.8%	50.0%	14	41.2%	50.0%
Henry	106	65	61.3%	50.0%	41	38.7%	50.0%
Rush	21	8	38.1%	50.0%	13	61.9%	50.0%
Union	15	9	60.0%	50.0%	6	40.0%	50.0%
Wayne	48	24	50.0%	50.0%	24	50.0%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 12 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	264	146	55.3%	50.0%	118	44.7%	50.0%
May 2010	272	155	57.0%	50.0%	117	43.0%	50.0%
April 2010	288	171	59.4%	50.0%	117	40.6%	50.0%
March 2010	305	182	59.7%	50.0%	123	40.3%	50.0%
February 2010	318	182	57.2%	50.0%	136	42.8%	50.0%
January 2010	331	203	61.3%	50.0%	128	38.7%	50.0%
December 2009	332	198	59.6%	50.0%	134	40.4%	50.0%
November 2009	344	206	59.9%	50.0%	138	40.1%	50.0%
October 2009	353	206	58.4%	50.0%	147	41.6%	50.0%
September 2009	355	206	58.0%	50.0%	149	42.0%	50.0%
August 2009	351	208	59.3%	50.0%	143	40.7%	50.0%
July 2009	323	180	55.7%	50.0%	143	44.3%	50.0%
June 2009	309	166	53.7%	50.0%	143	46.3%	50.0%

## Region 12 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 13	479	322	67.2%	50.0%	157	32.8%	50.0%
Brown	26	18	69.2%	50.0%	8	30.8%	50.0%
Greene	74	49	66.2%	50.0%	25	33.8%	50.0%
Lawrence	44	27	61.4%	50.0%	17	38.6%	50.0%
Monroe	257	169	65.8%	50.0%	88	34.2%	50.0%
Owen	78	59	75.6%	50.0%	19	24.4%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 13 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	479	322	67.2%	50.0%	157	32.8%	50.0%
May 2010	487	323	66.3%	50.0%	164	33.7%	50.0%
April 2010	469	312	66.5%	50.0%	157	33.5%	50.0%
March 2010	484	313	64.7%	50.0%	171	35.3%	50.0%
February 2010	493	328	66.5%	50.0%	165	33.5%	50.0%
January 2010	502	338	67.3%	50.0%	164	32.7%	50.0%
December 2009	501	324	64.7%	50.0%	177	35.3%	50.0%
November 2009	511	319	62.4%	50.0%	192	37.6%	50.0%
October 2009	491	299	60.9%	50.0%	192	39.1%	50.0%
September 2009	481	296	61.5%	50.0%	185	38.5%	50.0%
August 2009	464	269	58.0%	50.0%	195	42.0%	50.0%
July 2009	481	288	59.9%	50.0%	193	40.1%	50.0%
June 2009	483	288	59.6%	50.0%	195	40.4%	50.0%

## Region 13 Foster Care Placement Breakdown








# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 14	693	395	57.0%	50.0%	298	43.0%	50.0%
Bartholomew	179	81	45.3%	50.0%	98	54.7%	50.0%
Jackson	104	31	29.8%	50.0%	73	70.2%	50.0%
Jennings	170	123	72.4%	50.0%	47	27.6%	50.0%
Johnson	173	101	58.4%	50.0%	72	41.6%	50.0%
Shelby	67	59	88.1%	50.0%	8	11.9%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	693	395	57.0%	50.0%	298	43.0%	50.0%
May 2010	665	364	54.7%	50.0%	301	45.3%	50.0%
April 2010	663	358	54.0%	50.0%	305	46.0%	50.0%
March 2010	646	359	55.6%	50.0%	287	44.4%	50.0%
February 2010	654	369	56.4%	50.0%	285	43.6%	50.0%
January 2010	614	359	58.5%	50.0%	255	41.5%	50.0%
December 2009	633	365	57.7%	50.0%	268	42.3%	50.0%
November 2009	613	336	54.8%	50.0%	277	45.2%	50.0%
October 2009	612	345	56.4%	50.0%	267	43.6%	50.0%
September 2009	599	326	54.4%	50.0%	273	45.6%	50.0%
August 2009	587	312	53.2%	50.0%	275	46.8%	50.0%
July 2009	575	278	48.3%	50.0%	297	51.7%	50.0%
June 2009	553	254	45.9%	50.0%	299	54.1%	50.0%

## Region 14 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 15	441	294	66.7%	50.0%	147	33.3%	50.0%
Dearborn	140	86	61.4%	50.0%	54	38.6%	50.0%
Decatur	118	103	87.3%	50.0%	15	12.7%	50.0%
Jefferson	89	51	57.3%	50.0%	38	42.7%	50.0%
Ohio	4	2	50.0%	50.0%	2	50.0%	50.0%
Ripley	66	39	59.1%	50.0%	27	40.9%	50.0%
Switzerland	24	13	54.2%	50.0%	11	45.8%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 15 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	441	294	66.7%	50.0%	147	33.3%	50.0%
May 2010	456	295	64.7%	50.0%	161	35.3%	50.0%
April 2010	456	294	64.5%	50.0%	162	35.5%	50.0%
March 2010	449	300	66.8%	50.0%	149	33.2%	50.0%
February 2010	419	270	64.4%	50.0%	149	35.6%	50.0%
January 2010	413	260	63.0%	50.0%	153	37.0%	50.0%
December 2009	404	250	61.9%	50.0%	154	38.1%	50.0%
November 2009	391	237	60.6%	50.0%	154	39.4%	50.0%
October 2009	390	235	60.3%	50.0%	155	39.7%	50.0%
September 2009	375	226	60.3%	50.0%	149	39.7%	50.0%
August 2009	368	225	61.1%	50.0%	143	38.9%	50.0%
July 2009	363	214	59.0%	50.0%	149	41.0%	50.0%
June 2009	381	227	59.6%	50.0%	154	40.4%	50.0%

## Region 15 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 16	1174	764	65.1%	50.0%	410	34.9%	50.0%
Gibson	97	65	67.0%	50.0%	32	33.0%	50.0%
Knox	194	124	63.9%	50.0%	70	36.1%	50.0%
Pike	18	9	50.0%	50.0%	9	50.0%	50.0%
Posey	46	30	65.2%	50.0%	16	34.8%	50.0%
Vanderburgh	718	473	65.9%	50.0%	245	34.1%	50.0%
Warrick	101	63	62.4%	50.0%	38	37.6%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 16 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	1174	764	65.1%	50.0%	410	34.9%	50.0%
May 2010	1143	736	64.4%	50.0%	407	35.6%	50.0%
April 2010	1080	665	61.6%	50.0%	415	38.4%	50.0%
March 2010	1033	628	60.8%	50.0%	405	39.2%	50.0%
February 2010	998	604	60.5%	50.0%	394	39.5%	50.0%
January 2010	957	588	61.4%	50.0%	369	38.6%	50.0%
December 2009	921	547	59.4%	50.0%	374	40.6%	50.0%
November 2009	922	539	58.5%	50.0%	383	41.5%	50.0%
October 2009	900	503	55.9%	50.0%	397	44.1%	50.0%
September 2009	903	502	55.6%	50.0%	401	44.4%	50.0%
August 2009	884	507	57.4%	50.0%	377	42.6%	50.0%
July 2009	897	504	56.2%	50.0%	393	43.8%	50.0%
June 2009	871	486	55.8%	50.0%	385	44.2%	50.0%

## Region 16 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 17	343	218	63.6%	50.0%	125	36.4%	50.0%
Crawford	52	27	51.9%	50.0%	25	48.1%	50.0%
Daviess	87	56	64.4%	50.0%	31	35.6%	50.0%
Dubois	38	25	65.8%	50.0%	13	34.2%	50.0%
Martin	27	12	44.4%	50.0%	15	55.6%	50.0%
Orange	30	20	66.7%	50.0%	10	33.3%	50.0%
Perry	70	45	64.3%	50.0%	25	35.7%	50.0%
Spencer	39	33	84.6%	50.0%	6	15.4%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 17 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	343	218	63.6%	50.0%	125	36.4%	50.0%
May 2010	354	230	65.0%	50.0%	124	35.0%	50.0%
April 2010	334	207	62.0%	50.0%	127	38.0%	50.0%
March 2010	335	215	64.2%	50.0%	120	35.8%	50.0%
February 2010	319	197	61.8%	50.0%	122	38.2%	50.0%
January 2010	318	189	59.4%	50.0%	129	40.6%	50.0%
December 2009	305	189	62.0%	50.0%	116	38.0%	50.0%
November 2009	309	180	58.3%	50.0%	129	41.7%	50.0%
October 2009	292	174	59.6%	50.0%	118	40.4%	50.0%
September 2009	300	180	60.0%	50.0%	120	40.0%	50.0%
August 2009	292	168	57.5%	50.0%	124	42.5%	50.0%
July 2009	287	152	53.0%	50.0%	135	47.0%	50.0%
June 2009	287	140	48.8%	50.0%	147	51.2%	50.0%

## Region 17 Foster Care Placement Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 18	680	450	66.2%	50.0%	230	33.8%	50.0%
Clark	263	168	63.9%	50.0%	95	36.1%	50.0%
Floyd	83	52	62.7%	50.0%	31	37.3%	50.0%
Harrison	97	67	69.1%	50.0%	30	30.9%	50.0%
Scott	190	137	72.1%	50.0%	53	27.9%	50.0%
Washington	47	26	55.3%	50.0%	21	44.7%	50.0%

## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 18 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	680	450	66.2%	50.0%	230	33.8%	50.0%
May 2010	707	456	64.5%	50.0%	251	35.5%	50.0%
April 2010	699	454	64.9%	50.0%	245	35.1%	50.0%
March 2010	688	456	66.3%	50.0%	232	33.7%	50.0%
February 2010	688	444	64.5%	50.0%	244	35.5%	50.0%
January 2010	651	414	63.6%	50.0%	237	36.4%	50.0%
December 2009	663	423	63.8%	50.0%	240	36.2%	50.0%
November 2009	638	381	59.7%	50.0%	257	40.3%	50.0%
October 2009	634	372	58.7%	50.0%	262	41.3%	50.0%
September 2009	612	367	60.0%	50.0%	245	40.0%	50.0%
August 2009	596	367	61.6%	50.0%	229	38.4%	50.0%
July 2009	568	344	60.6%	50.0%	224	39.4%	50.0%
June 2009	565	327	57.9%	50.0%	238	42.1%	50.0%

## Region 18 Foster Care Placement Breakdown

